



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/902,733 | 07/12/2001 | Aviad Zlotnick | ZLOTNICK=2 | 9520 |
| 1444 | 7590 05/26/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW | | | DESIRE, GREGORY M | |
| SUITE 300 | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| WASHING | TON, DC 20001-5303 | 2624 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/26/2000 | 6 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application Number | Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | 09/902,733 | ZLOTNICK, AVIAD | | | |
| | : | Matthew C. Bella | Art Unit | | | |
| | Document Code - AP.PRE.I | | 2676 | | | |
| j | Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review | | | | | |
| | Notice of Pariet De | | | | | |
| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed 4/11/06 | | | | | | |
| | Improper Request – The Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following reason(s): | | | | | |
| | ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ☐ The request does not include reasons why a review is appropriate. ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request. ☐ Other: . | | | | | |
| | The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received. | | | | | |
| | held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month from the receipt of the notice. | appeal because there is at lea in accordance with 37 CFR 41 om mailing this decision, or the of appeal, whichever is greate FR 1.136 based upon the mai | A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been st one actual issue for appeal. Applicant .37. The time period for filing an appeal balance of the two-month time period er. Further, the time period for filing of the I date of this decision or the receipt date | | | |
| | The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) is as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,5-14, 16-25 and 27-33 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| | 3. ☐ Allowable application – A cor Allowance will be mailed. Prosecutio applicant at this time. | | | | | |
| | 4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A confaction will be mailed. No further action | | | | | |
| | All participants: | | | | | |
| | (1) Matthew C. Bella. | (3) <u>John Pe</u> | eng. | | | |
| | (2) <u>Greg Desire</u> . | (4) | | | | |
| | ghegory assire | | | | | |
| U.S. | Patent and Trademark Office | | Part of Paper No. 20060 | | | |

Part of Paper No. 20060523